
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

**CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO
SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE**

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported): May 21, 2014

LAREDO PETROLEUM, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

001-35380

(Commission File Number)

45-3007926

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15 W. Sixth Street, Suite 1800, Tulsa, Oklahoma

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

74119

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(918) 513-4570**

Not Applicable

(Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure.

On May 21, 2014, Laredo Petroleum, Inc. (the “Company”) posted to its website its May Corporate Presentation. The presentation is available on the Company’s website, www.laredopetro.com, and is attached to this Current Report on Form 8-K as Exhibit 99.1 and incorporated into this Item 7.01 by reference.

All statements in the presentation other than historical financial information, may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. See the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the Company’s other filings with the SEC for a discussion of other risks and uncertainties. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

In accordance with General Instruction B.2 of Form 8-K, the information in this report (including Exhibit 99.1) is deemed to be “furnished” and shall not be deemed “filed” for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall such information and Exhibit be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) *Exhibits.*

Exhibit Number	Description
99.1	Corporate Presentation May 2014

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

LAREDO PETROLEUM, INC.

Date: May 21, 2014

By: /s/ Kenneth E. Dornblaser
Kenneth E. Dornblaser
Senior Vice President and General Counsel

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
99.1	Corporate Presentation May 2014



LAREDO
PETROLEUM

Corporate Presentation
May 2014

Forward-Looking / Cautionary Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included in this presentation that address activities, events or developments that Laredo Petroleum, Inc. (the "Company", "Laredo" or "LPI") assumes, plans, expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The words "believe," "expect," "may," "estimates," "will," "anticipate," "plan," "intend," "foresee," "should," "would," "could," or other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, which are generally not historical in nature. However, the absence of these words does not mean that the statements are not forward-looking. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, forward-looking statements contained in this presentation specifically include the expectations of plans, strategies, objectives and anticipated financial and operating results of the Company, including as to the Company's drilling program, production, hedging activities, capital expenditure levels and other guidance included in this presentation. These statements are based on certain assumptions made by the Company based on management's expectations and perception of historical trends, current conditions, anticipated future developments and other factors believed to be appropriate. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, which may cause actual results to differ materially from those implied or expressed by the forward-looking statements. These include, but are not limited to, risks relating to financial performance and results, current economic conditions and resulting capital restraints, prices and demand for oil and natural gas, availability of drilling equipment and personnel, availability of sufficient capital to execute the Company's business plan, impact of compliance with legislation, regulations, and regulatory actions, successful results from our drilling activities, the Company's ability to replace reserves and efficiently develop and exploit its current reserves and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and Laredo's other reports filed with the SEC.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made and the Company undertakes no obligation to correct or update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.


The SEC generally permits oil and gas companies, in filings made with the SEC, to disclose proved reserves, which are reserve estimates that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions and certain probable and possible reserves that meet the SEC's definitions for such terms. In this presentation, the Company may use the terms "estimated ultimate recovery", "EUR" or descriptions of volumes of reserves which the SEC guidelines restrict from being included in filings with the SEC without strict compliance with SEC definitions. The Company does not choose to include unproved reserve estimates in its filings with the SEC. Estimated ultimate recovery, refers to the Company's internal estimates of per well hydrocarbon quantities that may be potentially recovered, from a hypothetical and actual well completed in the area. Actual quantities that may be ultimately recovered from the Company's interests are unknown. Factors affecting ultimate recovery include the scope of the Company's ongoing drilling program, which will be directly affected by the availability of capital, drilling and production costs, availability of drilling services and equipment, drilling results, lease expirations, transportation constraints, regulatory approvals and other factors, as well as actual drilling results, including geological and mechanical factors affecting recovery rates. Estimates of ultimate recovery from reserves may change significantly as development of the Company's core assets provide additional data. In addition, the Company's production forecasts and expectations for future periods are dependent upon many assumptions, including estimates of production decline rates from existing wells and the undertaking and outcome of future drilling activity, which may be affected by significant commodity price declines or drilling cost increases.

As previously disclosed, on August 1, 2013 (with an economic effective date of April 1, 2013) the Company disposed of its oil and natural gas properties, associated pipeline assets and various other associated property and equipment in the Anadarko Granite Wash, Central Texas Panhandle and the Eastern Anadarko Basin. As a result of such sale, the reserves, cash flows and all other attributes associated with the ownership and operations of these properties have been eliminated from the ongoing operations of the Company, and the information in this presentation has been prepared on such basis.



Laredo Petroleum Today


- High-quality, contiguous acreage position in the heart of the Midland Basin
- Top-tier well results in multiple horizons
- Significant resource potential: >10x existing reserves ¹
- Transitioning to development manufacturing mode with multi-zone, stacked laterals
- Strong financial structure



¹ Based on reserves as of 12/31/13, prepared by Ryder Scott, presented on a two-stream basis

Concentrated Asset Portfolio Focused in Midland Basin


- ~144,107 net acres¹
- Proven Hz development in four stacked zones (*Upper, Middle & Lower Wolfcamp and Cline*) yields ~360,000 net effective acres, to date
- Testing additional zones and acreage for Hz development (*Sprayberry, Canyon and ABW*)




¹ As of 3/31/2014
² Working interest in wells drilled as of 3/31/2014

Permian Reserves¹

By Product




By Category



577% Production Replacement at \$12.00/BOE


Permian Reserve Growth



¹ Based on reserves as of 12/31/13, prepared by Ryder Scott and presented on a two-stream basis

² Based on total company drilling

Identified Path for Growth




¹ Based on reserves as of 12/31/13, prepared by Ryder Scott and presented on a two-stream basis
² Based upon un-booked identified well locations for vertical Wolfberry and horizontal wells in the Upper Wolfcamp, Middle Wolfcamp, Lower Wolfcamp and Cline
³ Includes potential locations on acreage not de-risked by Hz wells, additional zones for Hz development and potential down-spacing

Horizontal Development Inventory¹

>3,500 horizontal locations have been identified for development in the initial four zones

- More than 45 years of drilling inventory at current pace
- Identified horizontal drilling locations represent ~1.6 billion barrels of oil equivalent resource potential
- >50% of acreage is ready for multi-zone development





¹Location count is gross, assumes 7,500' laterals and ~85% working interest

2014 Approved Capital Budget

Total Capital - 2014
~\$1,000 MM

Drilling & Completion
~\$840 MM



Number of Rigs / Wells

6-7 Horizontal Rigs

Development Wells: ~90%
Delineation Wells: ~10%

5 Vertical Rigs


Development: 120 - 125

■ Drilling & Completion	\$840 MM
■ Facilities	130
■ Land & Seismic	20
■ Other	10
	<u>\$1,000 MM</u>

■ Development: Hz	~55%
■ Development: Vertical	~30%
■ Hz Delineation	~10%
■ Non-operated	~ 5%
	<u>100% of \$840 MM</u>




Consistent Permian Production Growth



¹ Two-stream production: Oil and liquids-rich natural gas

Vertical Wolfberry: Confirms Quality of Acreage¹

- >800 vertical Wolfberry wells across acreage
 - >300 deep vertical Wolfberry wells through the Atoka
- Average vertical well density is approximately one well per 175 acres across acreage
- ~20% rate of return




¹ As of 3/31/2014

Significant Data Inventory

Garden City Data Inventory ¹

- ~3,400' of whole cores in objective section
 - 13 whole cores
 - >650 SWC samples
- 48 single-zone tests from objective section (Spraberry to Ellenberger)
- >8,000 conventional open-hole logs
 - 252 in-house petrophysical logs
 - 104 dipole sonic logs
 - Fully core-calibrated
- 100% Gravity/Magnetic Data Coverage and interpretation
- 838 sq mi 3D Seismic
 - 95% coverage of Garden City acreage
 - ~50% of seismic inventory is high-quality, proprietary 3D data
- 13 Microseismic Survey's
- 29 Production Logs




¹ As of 05/19/2014

Proven Multi-zone Horizontal Performance

Commercial development has been proven for initial four zones from 103 horizontal wells





Horizontal Zone	Total # of Completions ¹		Long Lateral 180-Day Cumulative Prod. ²
	Short Lateral	Long Lateral	
Upper Wolfcamp	7	36	87,200
Middle Wolfcamp	1	15	88,800
Lower Wolfcamp	0	6	82,000
Cline	31	7	73,000



¹ Well completions as of 3/31/2014

² Based on long lateral completions with at least 25 stages and at least 180 days of production history past peak production as of 5/1/2014 and excludes Sterling County wells, representing 23 Upper Wolfcamp, 4 Middle Wolfcamp, 5 Lower Wolfcamp and 5 Cline wells.

Production History Supports Type Curves




— Average cumulative production per well^{1,2} — LPI Type Curve – 7,500' lateral



¹ Long lateral completions with at least 25 stages and 180 days of production, excludes Sterling County, representing 23 Upper Wolfcamp, 4 Middle Wolfcamp, 5 Lower Wolfcamp and 5 Cline wells.
² As of 5/1/14


Northern Midland Basin Creaming Curve (Hz since 2008)¹

Laredo's well results continue to improve



¹ Source: Credit Suisse, as of April 1, 2014

Concentration of Resources Drives Efficiencies




Transitioning to Multi-Zone Development in 2014

Stacked Lateral Development


- 2014 program expected to drill ~60 stacked lateral wells utilizing ~20 multi-well pads
- Efficiency gains are expected to reduce well costs 6-8%
- Concentrates drilling to utilize shared facilities and resources

4-Stacked 3-Stacked 2-Stacked

~60 wells total




Efficiency Gains from Pad Drilling



Production Impact From Multi-Well Pads


One Rig, 4-Well Stacked Pad Drilling Example



- Creates lumpy production
- Up to 123-day delay in initial production vs an individual well
- Balancing production impact and pad drilling efficiencies
- 2014 development includes 2, 3 and 4-well pad drilling



Production Corridor



Production corridor can accommodate the 448 horizontal wells necessary to develop the 21 sections and is scalable for additional zones and downspacing




Cost Savings Initiatives

- Pad drilling efficiencies
- Multi-well frac efficiencies
- Negotiated service cost reductions
 - Coil
 - Pumping services
 - Wireline logging
 - Frac tank
- Optimizing drilling and completions operations
- Proppant sourcing improvements
- Reduction in transportation cost
- Improved water management
- Integration of new technologies
- Reduction in chemical usage
- Natural gas fueling




ROR vs Well Capital Costs

Permian Well Costs					
(\$MM)	Upper Wolfcamp	Middle Wolfcamp	Lower Wolfcamp	Cline	Vertical
2014 Budget	\$7.4	\$7.4	\$8.1	\$8.6	\$2.2
2014 YE Target	\$6.8	\$6.8	\$7.5	\$8.0	\$1.9




Sales Price Diversification¹

Firm transportation out of the Permian




- 13,000 BOPD committed to Longhorn, increasing annually to 22,000 BOPD in 4 years
- 10,000 BOPD committed to BridgeTex
- 2014 WTI to Midland basis swap of ~6,000 BOPD





¹ As of 3/31/14

Processing Plant Capacity With LPI Direct Connectivity



Preserving Financial Flexibility

- >\$1.3 billion of liquidity¹
- Growing borrowing base
- No near-term maturities
- Strong financial metrics



¹ As of 5/8/14

Oil Hedges

<i>Open Positions As of April 1, 2014</i> ⁽¹⁾	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
OIL ⁽²⁾						
Puts:						
Hedged volume (Bbls)	405,000	456,000	-	-	-	861,000
Weighted average price (\$/Bbl)	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$75.00
Swaps:						
Hedged volume (Bbls)	1,622,497	-	1,573,800	-	-	3,196,297
Weighted average price (\$/Bbl)	\$94.44	\$ -	\$84.82	\$ -	\$ -	\$89.70
Collars:						
Hedged volume (Bbls)	2,209,500	6,557,020	1,860,000	-	-	10,626,520
Weighted average floor price (\$/Bbl)	\$86.42	\$79.81	\$80.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$81.22
Weighted average ceiling price (\$/Bbl)	\$104.89	\$95.40	\$91.37	\$ -	\$ -	\$96.67
Total volume with a floor (Bbls)	4,236,997	7,013,020	3,433,800	-	-	14,683,817
Weighted average floor price (\$/Bbl) ⁽³⁾	\$88.01	\$79.50	\$82.21	\$ -	\$ -	\$82.59
~ % of Projected Total Oil Production	70%	65%	25%	0%	0%	
NYMEX WTI to Midland Basis Swaps:						
Hedged volume (Bbls)	1,650,000	-	-	-	-	1,650,000
Weighted average price (\$/Bbl)	\$1.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$1.00



¹ Updated to reflect hedges placed from April 1, 2014 through May 7, 2014
² Oil derivatives are settled based on the month's average daily NYMEX price of WTI Light Sweet Crude Oil
³ Weighted average prices include WTI Midland basis swaps

Natural Gas Hedges

<i>Open Positions As of April 1, 2014</i> ⁽¹⁾	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
NATURAL GAS ⁽²⁾						
Swaps:						
Hedged volume (MMBtu)	4,950,000	-	-	-	-	4,950,000
Weighted average price (\$/MMBtu)	\$ 4.32	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$4.32
Collars:						
Hedged volume (MMBtu)	10,997,500	28,600,000	18,666,000	-	-	58,263,500
Weighted average floor price (\$/MMBtu)	\$3.35	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$3.07
Weighted average ceiling price (\$/MMBtu)	\$5.50	\$5.96	\$5.60	\$ -	\$ -	\$5.76
Total volume with a floor (MMBtu)	15,947,500	28,600,000	18,666,000	-	-	63,213,500
Weighted average floor price (\$/MMBtu)	\$3.45	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$3.16
Weighted average floor price (\$/Mcf)⁽³⁾	\$4.78	\$3.93	\$3.93	\$ -	\$ -	\$4.15
~ % of Projected Total Natural Gas Production	50%	65%	35%	0%	0%	



¹ Updated to reflect hedges placed from April 1, 2014 through May 7, 2014

² Natural gas derivatives are settled based on Inside FERC index price for West Texas Waha for the calculation period.

³ \$/Mcf is converted based upon Company average BTU content of 1.311

Laredo Investment Opportunity


- **High-quality acreage position in the fairway of the Midland Basin**
- **Significant resource potential: >10x existing reserves**
- **Top-tier well results in multiple horizons**
- **Stacked laterals optimizing multi-zone development manufacturing process**
- **Strong financial structure**






Appendix

Permian Basin: Present Day




Laredo Situated Over Thickest Column of Sediment: W-E



Modified from Core-Lab, 2013

Laredo Situated Over Thickest Column of Sediment: N-S



Modified from Core-Lab, 2013

Laredo's Permian-Garden City Shales¹

Significant oil in place in multiple stacked zones

	Spraberry	Wolfcamp	Cline	A/B/W	Combined
Depth (ft)	5,000 – 7,000	7,000 – 8,500	9,000 – 9,500	9,500 – 10,500	5,000 – 10,500
Average Thickness (ft)	1,500 – 2,000	1,200 – 1,500	250 – 350	350 – 400	3,300 – 4,250
TOC (%)	4.0 – 13.0	2.0 – 9.0	2.0 – 7.5	2.0 – 13.0	2.0 – 13.0
Thermal maturity (% RSO)	0.6 – 0.7	0.7 – 0.9	0.9 – 1.1	0.9 – 1.2	0.6 – 1.2
Total porosity (%)	6.0% – 16.0%	4.0% – 8.0%	5.0% – 8.0%	3.0% – 13.0%	3.0% – 16.0%
Clay content (%)	15 – 40	25 – 45	30 – 40	20 – 45	15 – 45
Pressure gradient (psi/ft)	0.40 – 0.50	0.45 – 0.50	0.55 – 0.65	0.55 – 0.65	0.40 – 0.65
OOIP (MMBOE/Section)	45 – 85	70 – 115	25 – 35	40 – 55	180 – 290


Clearfork
Upper Spraberry ★
Lower Spraberry ★
Dean
Upper Wolfcamp
Middle Wolfcamp
Lower Wolfcamp
Canyon
Penn Shale
Cline
Strawn ★
Atoka (A)
Barnett (B) ★
Woodford (W)
Fusselman

★ Additional zones with horizontal upside potential




¹ Properties from proprietary LPI core analysis

Horizontal Type Curves




¹ Long lateral completions, excludes Sterling County and the Glass 214-Glass 219-1HM
² As of 5/12/14, normalized for production down time

Expanding Cash Margin



2013 Reserve Update ¹

487% Production Replacement
575% Permian Production Replacement



¹ Based on reserves as of 12/31/12 and 12/31/13, prepared by Ryder Scott and presented on a two-stream basis